

REMARKS

With the cancellation of claims 8, 19, and 30, claims 1-7, 9-18, 20-29, and 31-35 are now pending in the above-referenced application.

As for the non-enablement rejection, Applicants submit that the Examiner has not established a prima facie case of non-enablement. In particular, the Examiner has not established, through scientific reasoning or evidence, why one of ordinary skill in the art could not practice the claimed invention without undue experimentation. Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejection is requested.


Applicants have incorporated allowable claims 8, 19, and 30 into their respective independent claims. Accordingly, allowance of the claims is requested.

In light of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully submit that all of the pending claims are in condition for allowance. Prompt reconsideration and allowance of the present application are therefore earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

KENYON & KENYON LLP

Dated: 12/4/06

Re: LN (R.N. 4,172)
By: 
Gerard A. Messina
Reg. No. 35,952

One Broadway
New York, NY 10004
(212) 425-7200